

Environmental Impact Assessment [version 1.0]

Proposal title: City Centre & High Streets Recovery: Strategic Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) for High Streets			
Project stage and type: ☐ Initial Idea Mandate	☐ Outline Business Case	☐ Full Business Case	
☐ Policy ☐ Strategy ☐ Function ☐ Service	⊠ New	☐ Changing	
☐ Other [please state] Programme	☐ Already exists / review		
Directorate: Growth & Regeneration	Lead Officer name: Jason Th	orne	
Service Area: Economic Development	Lead Officer role: Service M	anager – City Centre & High	
	Streets		

Step 1: What do we want to do?

The purpose of this Environmental Impact Assessment is to help you develop your proposal in a way that is compliant with the council's policies and supports the council's strategic objectives under the One City Ecological Emergency Strategy and the latest Corporate Strategy.

This assessment should be started at the beginning of the project proposal process by someone with a good knowledge of the project, the service area that will deliver it, and sufficient influence over the proposal to make changes as needed.

It is good practice to take a team approach to completing the Environmental Impact Assessment. See further <u>guidance</u> on completing this document. Please contact the <u>Sustainable City and Climate Change Service</u> early for advice and feedback.

1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpose of this proposal?

Briefly explain the purpose of the proposal and why it is needed. Please use <u>plain English</u>, avoiding jargon and acronyms.

Summary: The cabinet report this Environmental Impact Assessment accompanies sets out the background, progress and benefits of the City Centre and High Streets Recovery programme, our approach to the allocation of Strategic Community Infrastructure Levy for high streets and the allocation of West of England Combined Authority High Streets Recovery & Adaptions funding.

The programme is specifically aimed at businesses across the city and with targeted intervention for street scene and greenery enhancements within the city centre and, following on from interventions in the nine high streets originally selected for the existing phase of the programme, across three further high streets and local centres primarily serving the wards of Ashley (Ashley Rd/Grosvenor Rd), Henbury & Brentry (Crow Lane) and Hengrove & Whitchurch Park (Oatlands Ave). The programme focuses on the following groups:

- Businesses in the City Centre and high streets
- Users (consumers, visitors, employees) of the City Centre and high streets
- Black, Asian and minority ethnic background communities and businesses
- Communities and businesses located in the three selected high streets (with areas or serving catchments with high levels of deprivation).

The purpose of the cabinet report is:

- To note progress and benefits being delivered as part of the City Centre & High Streets Recovery and Renewal programme.
- To approve the approach to the allocation of £1.5m of Strategic Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) for high streets and alignment to the City Centre & High Streets Recovery and Renewal programme.
- To delegate to the Executive Director for Growth & Regeneration, the approval and allocation of further High Streets Recovery & Adaptions funding of up to £850,000 from the West of England Combined Authority. The funding will be aligned to delivery of the City Centre & High Streets Recovery and Renewal programme.

1.2 Will the proposal have an environmental impact?

Could the proposal have either a positive or negative effects for the environment now or in the future? If 'No' explain why you are sure there will be no environmental impact, then skip steps 2-3 and request review by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service.

If 'Yes' comple	ete the rest of th	is assessment.		
⊠ Yes	\square No	[please select]		
		ort of an options appraisal included in the recommer	I, has the environmental impact of each opt ndation-making process?	ior
•		details of the environmental ir inagement options appraisal do	mpacts of each option are made clear in the pros and ocument.	t
☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ Not applicable	[please select]	

Step 2: What kinds of environmental impacts might the project have?

Analysis of impacts must be rigorous. Please demonstrate your analysis of any impacts of the proposal in this section, referring to evidence you have gathered. See detailed <u>guidance documents</u> for advice on identifying potential impacts.

If 'No' explain why environmental impacts have not been considered as part of the options appraisal process.

2.1 Does the proposal create any benefits for the environment, or have any adverse impacts?

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support our corporate environmental objectives and the wider One City Climate and Ecological Emergency strategies.

Consider how the proposal creates environmental impacts in the following categories, both now and in the future. Reasonable efforts should be made to quantify stated benefit or adverse impacts wherever possible.

Where the proposal is likely to have a beneficial impact, consider what actions would enhance those impacts. Where the proposal is likely to have a harmful impact, consider whether actions would mitigate these impacts.

Enhancements or mitigation actions are only required when there is a likely impact identified. Remember that where enhancements or mitigation actions are listed, they should be assigned to staff and appropriately resourced.

GENERAL COMMENTS (highlight any potential issues that might impact all or many categories)

The programme will involve relatively small-scale physical improvements in existing built up areas (it doesn't involve major changes to transport networks or major construction activity for example) so in this sense adverse environmental impacts will be minimised.

The nature of a locality – its geography and its existing infrastructure – may inhibit or preclude some activity intended to enhance the local environment, given the relatively limited budget available (for example road narrowing to encourage better connectivity with nearby green spaces).

Alignment with BCC policy: BCC's Economic Development service is guided by the One City Economic Recovery and Renewal Strategy, which fully acknowledges and is underpinned by the UNSDGs. With regard to the city's high streets and local centres, this programme seeks to address a number of the actions outlined in the Strategy in order to deliver more inclusive and greener localities (P82), including: increased provision of space for cycling and walking, with better radial connections to Bristol's communities; working with residents to create liveable neighbourhoods, reducing traffic and supporting footfall and trade in local high streets; increased housing development on and around high streets to support housing need and support viability of high streets; provide further support to cultural and visitor businesses

The <u>One City Ecological Emergency Strategy</u> (P11) recognises the need for greener streets connecting the city with street trees, pollinator corridors in central reserves and wildlife habitats in roundabouts, verges and public squares.

Although necessarily limited in scope due the relatively small budget this programme can contribute to wider strategic outcomes outlined in both the One City Climate Strategy (P30), which cites the use of transport corridors to enhance green infrastructure, and the <u>Joint Local Transport Plan</u> (P72; P88) by helping to improve network resilience and connectivity by making the environs around local bus stops etc more attractive, thereby helping encourage greater use of public transport.

Evidence base specific to the wards directly affected by the proposal

Keep Bristol Cool mapping tool:

- Ashley (Ashley Rd/Grosvenor Rd): Of the ten lower super output areas (LSOAs) that make up the Ashley ward: five rank high or very high risk for heat vulnerability; five rank high or very high risk for deprivation; nine rank high or very high risk for indoor exposure; and nine rank high or very high risk for outside exposure. The neighbouring ward of Lawrence Hill also ranks high or very high risk across all the criteria listed on the mapping tool
- Henbury & Brentry (Crow Lane): Of the seven LSOAs that make up the Henbury/Brentry ward: two are ranked high or very high risk for heat vulnerability; all 6 are ranked high or very high risk for age-related vulnerability; four are ranked high or very high risk for deprivation; and one is ranked high or very high risk for indoor exposure
- Hengrove & Whitchurch Park (Oatlands Ave): Of the twelve LSOAs that make up the Hengrove/Whitchurch Park ward: three are ranked high or very high risk for heat vulnerability; all twelve are ranked high or very high risk for age-related vulnerability; six are ranked high or very high risk for deprivation; and three are ranked high or very high risk for outside exposure
- Central (City Centre): Of the eight lower super output areas (LSOAs) that make up the Central ward: seven are
 ranked high or very high risk for heat vulnerability; three are ranked high or very high risk for deprivation; and
 all eight are ranked high or very high risk for both indoor exposure and outdoor exposure. The neighbouring
 ward of Lawrence Hill also ranks high or very high risk across all the criteria listed on the mapping tool

Flood Risk:

While much of Central ward is designated at risk of tidal flood, the St Agnes area of Ashley Ward, which includes Ashley Rd/Grosvenor Rd, has been identified as being at high risk to surface water flooding by the Surface Water Management Plan, or by flood risk officer local knowledge. Similarly, Crow Lane in Henbury & Brentry ward, and Oatlands Avenue in Hengrove & Whitchurch Park ward is close to an area at high risk of surface water flooding.

UK Ward Canopy Cover Map:

- Ashley: 14.8%

- Hengrove/Whitchurch Park: 12.6%

- Henbury/Brentry: 27.1%

- Central: 12.9%

Local Air Quality Data (Open Data Bristol):

According to this data source, while available data for Henbury & Brentry and Hengrove & Whitchurch Park appears limited (neither is in the Air Quality Management Zone), in 12 out of 13 years between 2010 & 2022 Lower Ashley Rd has exceeded the legal limit for NO2; a similar pattern is discernible in Central ward, where there are at least seven air quality monitors.

Quality of Life Indicators:

There are 28 Sustainability & Environment themed indicators in the current annual Bristol Quality of Life survey.

- In Ashley ward (Ashley Rd/Grosvenor Rd) 4 such indicators suggest that proactive messaging using the programme could have a beneficial impact on attitudes and perceptions when comparing against the Bristol Average for those indicators (% who think air quality/traffic pollution is a problem locally; % who think fly tipping is a problem locally; % who think litter is a problem locally; % whose mental health has suffered due to climate change)
- In Henbury/Brentry ward (Crow Lane) One such indicator suggests that proactive messaging using the programme could have a beneficial impact on attitudes and perceptions when comparing against the Bristol Average for that indicator (% very concerned about climate change)

- In Hengrove/Whitchurch Park ward (Oatlands Ave) 8 such indicators suggest that proactive messaging using the programme could have a beneficial impact on attitudes and perceptions when comparing against the Bristol Average for those indicators (% satisfied with the quality of parks/green spaces; % very concerned about climate change; % who have changed the way they travel around Bristol due to climate change concerns; % who have changed what they buy due to climate change concerns; % who have eaten less meat/dairy produce due to climate change concerns; % who have reduced energy use at home due to climate change concerns; % who have reduced flying for holidays due to climate change concerns; % who visit Bristol's parks and garden spaces at least once a week)
- In Central ward (City Centre) 7 such indicators suggest that proactive messaging using the programme could have a beneficial impact on attitudes and perceptions when comparing against the Bristol Average for those indicators (% concerned about climate change; % concerned about the loss of wildlife in Bristol; % satisfied with the general household waste service; % satisfied with the quality of parks/green spaces; % who have created space for nature; % who think street litter is a problem locally; % whose home has suffered from overheating during hot weather)

Summary of beneficial impacts and opportunities to support the Climate, Ecological and Corporate Strategies (ENV1,2,3,4):

Opportunity to

- Respond to Bristol residents' desire to see green infrastructure installed on their high streets
- engage directly with local businesses so as to highlight options regarding measures that will help in their progress towards net zero
- positively impact health/wellbeing through making local high streets more attractive so as to encourage more people to use them, engage in active travel to/from them, and encourage healthier food choices, and be more physically active
- promote the sustainable nature of the programme (e.g. highlighting raising awareness of the waste hierarchy) and by doing so reiterate the council's intentions regarding waste reduction
- contribute positively to supporting the city's urban biodiversity

Summary of adverse impacts and how they can be mitigated:

- Disruptive activity during installation of street furniture/enhancement of street scene
- Adherence to council policies relating to mitigating harmful environmental impacts (procurement, social value etc)
- Proactively seeking out instances of best practice from elsewhere and adapting our processes accordingly
- Proactively engaging with experts in the sustainability field (e.g. Bristol Waste; BCC's Sustainable City and Climate Change Service; Green Capital Partnership)

ENV1 Carbon neutral: Emissions of climate

Emissions of climate changing gases

BCC has committed to achieving net zero emissions for its direct activities by 2025, and to support the city in achieving net zero by 2030.

Will the proposal involve transport, or the use of energy in buildings? Will the proposal involve the purchase of goods or services? If the answer is yes Benefits

The proposal is at an early stage of development so it is difficult to precisely quantify emissions at this point. We will be undertaking public consultations in the three additional high street areas which will inform the nature of the public realm improvements to be delivered under the programme. Previous such consultations during the earlier phase of the programme (and other such projects Economic Development have led on) indicate a likely strong preference for greening initiatives such as planters and new trees. This will afford the opportunity to highlight the council's recognition of the urgent need to address the challenges of climate change to both high street businesses and the people that use them, as cited in the One City Climate Strategy (P10).

Opportunity to engage directly with local businesses so as to highlight options regarding measures that will help in their progress towards net zero (e.g. signposting to green business grants; sustainable travel options etc)

to either of these questions, there will be a carbon impact. Consider the scale and timeframe of the impact, particularly if the proposal will lead to ongoing	Enhancing actions	Green street scene improvements which will contribute positively to the city's biodiversity – for example planters, pocket parks, trees (which will also increase shelter from the sun)			
	Persistence (of effects: 🛛 1 year or less 🔲 1 – 5 years 🔲 5+ years			
emissions beyond the 2025 and 2030 target dates. Further guidance No impact	Adverse impacts	- Carbon emissions during installation of street furniture/public realm improvements			
	Mitigating actions Persistence	 The public consultation process will be designed so as to filter out as much as possible actions that may cause unacceptable levels of emissions We will follow the council's established procurement procedures which require us to engage contractors well acquainted with the Council's requirements regarding the mitigation of carbon emissions Public realm enhancement activities carried out under the programme will necessarily be subject to the internal Quality Assurance process which as a matter of course takes into account the council's responsibilities regarding climate change mitigation of effects:			
consider how your proposal can support increased space for nature, reduced use of pesticides, reduce pollution to waterways, and reduce	Benefits	The proposal will not lead to a reduction in habitat but rather help to improve areas of the existing built environment through greening measures (following local consultations). The proposal is also intended to have positive impacts for health through making local high streets more attractive (well positioned benches) so as to encourage more people to use them, engage in active travel to/from them, and encourage healthier food choices (by proactive promotion of such initiatives as Bristol Eating Better) and be more physically active.			
	Enhancing actions	 Green street scene improvements which will contribute positively to the city's biodiversity – for example planters, pocket parks, trees (which will also increase shelter from the sun) installed/planted with pollinating insects such as bees in mind Where the possibility arises (e.g. Crow Lane) undertake measures to better connect local high streets and shops to nearby green spaces 			
	Persistence (of effects: ☐ 1 year or less ☐ 1 – 5 years ☐ 5+ years			
If your proposal will directly lead to a reduction in habitat within Bristol, then consider how your proposed mitigation can lead to a biodiversity net gain. Be sure to refer to quantifiable changes wherever possible. Further guidance No impact	Adverse impacts	Disruptive activity during installation of street furniture/enhancement of street scene			
	Mitigating actions	Activity likely to take place in existing built up areas so major adverse effects on habitat/wildlife unlikely; however measures will be taken to engage contractors who will be required to comply with council standards regarding habitat disruption			

	Persistence of	of effects:	■ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
ENV3 A cleaner, low-waste city: Consumption of resources and generation of waste	Benefits	precisely of consultati inform the under the sustainable awareness of what st position to	quantify waste at thi ons in the three add e nature of the public programme – afforce le nature of the prog s of the waste hieran creet furniture etc is o quantify any waste	ge of development so it is point. We will be under itional high street areas we realm improvements to ling the opportunity to paramme (e.g. highlighting chy). Once this is done as to be installed we will be and, having done so, fur the actions under the present the point of the	taking public which will be delivered romote the raising and a firm idea in a better ther
Consider what resources will be used as a result of the proposal, how they can be minimised or swapped for	Enhancing actions		ity to install more du i infrastructure	irable, longer lasting stre	et furniture
less impactful ones, where	Persistence of	of effects:	oxtimes 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
they will be sourced from, and what will happen to any waste generated	Adverse impacts	Removal	of existing street furn	niture and infrastructure	
Further guidance No impact	Mitigating actions	approprecycle bench Ensure waste on site Consu BCC's	priate repair of existiced timber/plastic for es) e appointed contract (draw up appropriate) It those with experticustal sustainable City and ling how to minimise	•	enches); use of e (planters, d to minimise gement/works cristol Waste; for advice
	Persistence of	of effects:	□ 1 year or less	□ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
ENV4 Climate resilience: Bristol's resilience to the effects of climate change Bristol's climate is already changing, and increasingly frequent instances of extreme weather will	Benefits	street are - small-s - increa Opportun options re	as, scope for: scale interventions to sed tree canopy to h ity to engage directl egarding measures th e.g. signposting to g	nsultation exercises in re o help sustainable urban elp address incidence of y with local businesses so nat will help in their prog reen business grants; sus	drainage extreme heat o as to highlight ress towards
become more likely over time. - Green street scene improvements which will contribute porton to the city's biodiversity – for example planters, pocket particular (which will also increase shelter from the sun) installed/play with pollinating insects such as bees in mind will perform during periods of extreme weather. - Green street scene improvements which will contribute porton to the city's biodiversity – for example planters, pocket particular (which will also increase shelter from the sun) installed/play with pollinating insects such as bees in mind better connect local high streets and shops to nearby green.				et parks, trees ed/planted ke measures to	
	. 5.5.5.6.1166		7 - 7 - 201 - 201 - 203		_ 5. years

(particularly heat and flooding). Consider if the proposal will reduce or increase risk to people and assets during	Adverse impacts	Disruptive of street s		allation of street furnitur	e/enhancement
extreme weather events. Further guidance No impact	Mitigating actions	extren plants - Consu to min	ne weather-related in the weather-related in the weak fraction of the weath in the weather the weather the weather the weather the weather the weather	cors are aware of the new resilience, e.g. appropria se in sustainability (e.g. te ples of best practice from	ate Bristol Waste)
	Persistence	of effects:	□ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
Statutory duty: Prevention of Pollution to	Benefits	enhanced		s/enhanced green infras or pride of place; improv	
Consider how the proposal will change the likelihood of	Enhancing actions	to the c (which with po - Where t	ity's biodiversity – fowill also increase she llinating insects such the possibility arises	ments which will contrib or example planters, poc elter from the sun) instal or as bees in mind (e.g. Crow Lane) undert reets and shops to nearb	ket parks, trees led/planted ake measures to
pollution occurring to air,	Persistence		□ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
water, or land and what steps will be taken to prevent pollution occurring.	Adverse impacts	Apart from	n initial enhanceme	nt works, the likelihood on not likely to be significated	of direct
Further guidance No impact	Mitigating actions	colleague with coun	s, to engage contrac	ng with procurement sp tors who will be required lose who have signed up eme)	d to comply
	Persistence	of effects:	□ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years

Step 3: Actions

3.1 Action Plan

Use this section summarise and assign responsibility for any actions you have identified to improve data, enhance beneficial, or mitigate negative impacts. Actions identified in section two can be grouped together if named responsibility is under the same person.

This action plan should be updated at each stage of the project. Please be aware that the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service may use this action plan as an audit checklist during the project's implementation or operation.

Enhancing / mitigating action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale
Request appointed contractors calculate carbon emissions	Jason Thorne	Ongoing throughout
resulting from public realm works to be carried out under the		the programme
programme		
Ensure contractors put in place a plan to minimise disruption to	Jason Thorne	Ongoing throughout
wildlife habitats prior to commencement of public real works		the programme
Consult where appropriate with relevant sustainability experts as	Jason Thorne	Ongoing throughout
to minimising the adverse effects of programme interventions		the programme

Enhancing / mitigating action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale
Proactively seek out instances of good practice that can be	Jason Thorne	Ongoing throughout
adopted or adapted as appropriate		the programme

Step 4: Review

The Sustainable City and Climate Change Service need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your impact assessment. Assessments should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the environmental impact of the proposal. Please seek feedback and review from the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service before final submission of your decision pathway documentation¹.

Where impacts identified in this assessment are deemed significant, they will be summarised here and included on the cover sheet of the decision pathway documentation.

Summary of significant beneficial impacts and opportunities to support the Climate, Ecological and Corporate Strategies (ENV1,2,3,4):

Opportunity to

- Respond to Bristol residents' desire to see green infrastructure installed on their high streets
- engage directly with local businesses so as to highlight options regarding measures that will help in their progress towards net zero
- positively impact health/wellbeing through making local high streets more attractive so as to encourage more people to use them, engage in active travel to/from them, and encourage healthier food choices, and be more physically active
- promote the sustainable nature of the programme (e.g. highlighting raising awareness of the waste hierarchy) and by doing so reiterate the council's intentions regarding waste reduction
- contribute positively to supporting the city's urban biodiversity

Summary of significant adverse impacts and how they can be mitigated:

- Disruptive activity during installation of street furniture/enhancement of street scene
- Adherence to council policies relating to mitigating harmful environmental impacts (procurement, social value etc)
- Proactively seeking out instances of best practice from elsewhere and adapting our processes accordingly
- Proactively engaging with experts in the sustainability field (e.g. Bristol Waste; BCC's Sustainable City and Climate Change Service; Green Capital Partnership)

Environmental Performance Team Reviewer:	Submitting author:
Daniel Shelton	Declan Murphy
Date:	Date:
25/08/2023	25/08/2023

¹ Review by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely environmental impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.